

p.2
RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

JUN 28 2010

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant(s): Williams

Application No.: 10/568,520

Filed: 2/14/2006

Title: Dry Chemical System for Extinguishing Difficult Fuel or Flammable Liquid Fires in an Industrial Tank w/ Roof Creating Space Above the Liquid(PCT50197)

Attorney Docket No.: 50262

Art Unit:
3752

Examiner:
Christopher S. Kim

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

Mailed 6/23/2010

Asserting that the reply filed April 7, 2010 was not fully responsive.

Dear Sir:

REMARKS

Applicant respectfully traverses the assertion of the Action mailed 6/23/2010 stating that Applicant's April 7, 2010 Reply did not respond to the drawing objection, paragraph 5 of the Action mailed January 12, 2010.

Applicant submits that the drawing replacement sheet filed with the Reply of April 7, 2010 was fully responsive to that drawing objection, to the extent that a drawing change was necessary. Page 1 of the April 7, 2010 Reply explained: "Please amend the drawings to substitute the attached replacement sheet for sheet 3. The amended drawings correct a mistake in the formal drawings." (The formal drawings filed in the underlying PCT application had "mislabelled" LFT, which was clear from the informed drawings.) See attachment, page 3 of PCT formal drawings, for comparison and easy identification of the LFT mistake.

The Examiner recited, January 12, 2010 Action, that the drawings were objected to, namely: "the low flow dry chemical discharge tip discharges approximately toward the middle of the interior of the tank as recited in claim 29 must be shown or the feature cancelled from the claims." Applicant assumed